

CLASSICAL AND BAYES-P* SUBSET SELECTION PROCEDURES FOR DOUBLE EXPONENTIAL POPULATIONS*

by and

Shanti S. Gupta
Department of Statistics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN, USA

Yuning Liao
Department of Statistics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN, USA

Technical Report # 90-25C

STIC FLECTE JUL 11 1990 D &

Department of Statistics Purdue University

May 1990

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Appreved for public release Diamount Communed

^{*}Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-88-K-0170 and NSF Grant DMS-8702620 at Purdue University.

CLASSICAL and BAYES-P* SUBSET SELECTION PROCEDURES

for DOUBLE EXPONENTIAL POPULATIONS*

Shanti S. Gupta and Yuning Liao

Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, U.S.A.

Abstract

The exact distribution of the sample mean from a double exponential (Laplace) model is derived. A classical subset selection procedure based on the sample mean for selecting the population associated with the largest location parameter of k double exponential (Laplace) distributions is studied. For the case when a non-informative prior is introduced into the problem, the relation between the classical Maximum-Type Procedure Rule R^{\max} and the so-called Bayes- P^* subset selection procedure rule is studied. An improved bound for the guarantee probability of a correct selection for the classical subset selection rule R^{\max} that relates the rule R^{\max} to the selected subset size (notice that the subset selection rule R^{\max} may select all the populations) is studied and some improved rules of the type R^{\max} are provided.

1 Introduction

Suppose we have k double exponential populations $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_k$, where each Π_i is characterized by the location parameter θ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$ are assumed to be unknown. Let X_i be the observable random variable from Π_i with probability density function

$$f(x;\theta_i,\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{2} exp\{-\frac{|x-\theta_i|}{\sigma}\}, \quad -\infty < x, \theta_i < \infty, \ \sigma > 0, \tag{1}$$

^{*}Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-88-K-0170 and NSF Grant DMS-8702620 at Purdue University.

where σ , is a common known value for all $i=1,2,\cdots,k$, so that without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma=1$. The ranked parameters are denoted by $\theta_{[1]} \leq \theta_{[2]} \leq \cdots \leq \theta_{[k]}$, and it is assumed that the correct pairing of the ordered $\theta_{[i]}$'s and the unordered θ_{i} 's is unknown.

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the subset selection procedures. First, we assume that there is no prior information about the parameters. Then we study the case where θ_i 's are independently distributed, and each θ_i has a non-informative prior.

2 Distribution of the Sample Mean

In connection with the selection procedures based on the sample means, we first derive the distribution of the sample mean.

Let X_{ij} be a random sample from ith population $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, i.e.

$$X_{ij} \sim f(x|\theta_i, 1) = \frac{1}{2}exp\{-|x - \theta_i|\}.$$

Hence

$$U_{ij} = X_{ij} - \theta_i \sim f(x|0,1) = \frac{1}{2} exp\{-|x|\}. \tag{2}$$

From the characteristic function of $U_i = \sum U_{ij}$, we can derive the following lemma

Lemma 2.1(Weida (1935)) Suppose $U_i = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij}$, where U_{ij} has density (2), then the density function of U_i is given by following formula

$$p(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi i \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{1}{i^n} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{e^{-itu}}{(1+it)^n} \right\} |_{t=i^{-1}}, \tag{3}$$

where u > 0 and

$$p(u) = p(-u) \quad \text{for} \quad u < 0.$$

Let s = -it, then (3) becomes

$$p(u) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{e^{su}}{(1-s)^n} \right\} |_{s=-1}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-u}}{2^n (n-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^n c_{n,n-j} u^{n-j}$$

$$= e^{-u} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{c_{n,n-j}}{c_n} u^{n-j}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$c_n = 2^n (n-1)!,$$

$$c_{n,n-j} = \frac{(n+j-2)!}{(j-1)!(n-j)!2^{j-1}}, \quad j=1,2,\dots,n.$$
(5)

Therefore the density function of $\overline{X}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}/n$ is

$$f_n(|x - \theta_i|) = e^{-n|x - \theta_i|} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{n,n-j} |x - \theta_i|^{n-j}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$
 (6)

where $\alpha_{n,n-j} = n^{n-j+1} c_{n,n-j}/c_n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

To obtain the coefficients $\{c_{n,i}\}$, $i=0,1,2,\cdots,n-1$, $n=2,3,\cdots$, it is helpful to rewrite the formula (5) as

$$c_{n,i} = \frac{(2n-i-2)!}{(n-i-1)!i!2^{n-i-1}}. (7)$$

Note that

$$c_{n,n-1} = 1, \quad c_{n,i} = \frac{(2n-i-2)(2n-i-3)}{2(n-i-1)}c_{n-1,i}.$$
 (8)

In particular

$$c_{n,0} = c_{n,1}, \quad c_{n,1} = (2n-3)c_{n-1,1}.$$

In Table 1, we have provided the values of $\{c_n\}$ and $\{c_{n,i}\}$ for n=2(1)10; i=1(1)n-1.

To find the cdf of \overline{X}_i , let us first find the cdf of U_i . Integrating the density function (4) of U_i , we have

$$P(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{u} p(t)dt \qquad (u > 0)$$

$$= 1 - e^{u} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{n,n-j}}{c_{n}} u^{n-j}, \qquad (9)$$

where $\{a_{n,n-j}\}$ satisfy:

$$a_{n,n-j} = c_{n,n-j} + (n-j+1)a_{n,n-j+1}$$
 $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, a_{n,n} = 0.$ (10)

Again we have

$$a_{n,n-1}=1, \quad a_{n,n-2}=(n-1)(n+2)/2.$$

Hence the cdf of \overline{X}_i is given by,

$$F_n(x|\theta_i) = \begin{cases} e^{-n|x-\theta_i|} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{n,n-j}n^{n-j}}{c_n} |x-\theta_i|^{n-j}, & x < \theta_i \\ 1 - e^{-n|x-\theta_i|} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{n,n-j}n^{n-j}}{c_n} |x-\theta_i|^{n-j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(11)

In Table 2, we provide the values of $\{c_n\}$ and $\{a_{n,i}\}$ for n=2(1)10; i=1(1)n-1.

Example: If we want to obtain the density and the cumulative distribution function of the sample mean of size n=4 from a double exponential model, checking the column n=4 from both Table 1 and Table 2, we can easily see that

$$f_4(|x-\theta_i|) = \frac{1}{96}e^{-4|x-\theta_i|}(4^4|x-\theta_i|^3+6\times 4^3|x-\theta_i|^2+15\times 4^2|x-\theta_i|+15\times 4),$$

and

$$F_4(x|\theta_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{96}e^{-4|x-\theta_i|}(4^3|x-\theta_i|^3+9\times 4^2|x-\theta_i|^2+33\times 4|x-\theta_i|+48), & x<\theta_i, \\ 1-\frac{1}{96}e^{-4|x-\theta_i|}(4^3|x-\theta_i|^3+9\times 4^2|x-\theta_i|^2+33\times 4|x-\theta_i|+48), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To compare the percentage points of the sample mean and the sample median, let

$$Z_n = \frac{\overline{X}_n - \theta}{\sigma}$$
 and $Z_n^* = \frac{X_{(\frac{n}{2})} - \theta}{\sigma}$.

Since the cdf of Z_n^* for odd number n is much easier to derive (see Gupta and Leong 1979), we will only provide the comparison of the percentage points of Z_n and Z_n^* for $n=3,5,\cdots,21$ (Table 3). The percentage points for the distribution of the sample mean Z_n when $n=2,4,\cdots,20$ are provided in a separate table (Table 4).

3 Using the Sample Mean to Select the Largest Location Parameter

If we assume that no prior information about the parameter $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ is a vailable, then we usually will use either the classical subset selection approach or the indifference zone formulation in our ranking and selection problem. In the following, we only study the subset selection approach.

(A) Formulation of the Problem: The the classical Maximum-Type Approach for any location type problem have been well studied, so we would not give too many details, but simply state some interesting results without any proof.

For selecting the population associated with the largest location parameter with a correct selection (CS) probability at least $P^*(1/k < P^* < 1)$ from k double exponential populations, where we have a sample mean \overline{X}_i of size n from each Π_i $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the Classical Maximum-Type Subset Selection Rule (R^{\max}) proposed by Gupta (1956) is defined as follows:

$$R^{\max}$$
: Select Π_i , iff: $\overline{X}_i \ge \max_{1 \le j \le k} \overline{X}_j - d/\sqrt{n}$ for some $d(>0)$,

where $d(\geq 0)$ is the smallest value satisfying:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n^{k-1}(u+d/\sqrt{n}) \dot{f_n}(u) du \ge P^*.$$

The usual condition of $P(CS|R^{max}) \ge P^*$ is guaranteed by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1

$$\inf_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega} P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max}) = \inf_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega_0} P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n^{k-1}(u + d/\sqrt{n}) f_n(u) du,$$

where $\Omega \supset \Omega_0 = \{\underline{\theta} : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \cdots = \theta_k, -\infty < \theta_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k \}.$

(B) Table of Necessary Constants For R^{\max} : for given k, n, and some particular values of P^* , the constants $d/\sqrt{n} = d(k, n, P^*)$ which satisfy

$$P^{\bullet} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n^{k-1}(u + d/\sqrt{n}) f_n(u) du,$$

are given in Table 5.

(C) Asymptotic Results for the Procedure R^{\max} : For large n, we can certainly use the normal distribution to approximate the infimum of $P_{\ell}(CS|R^{\max})$. Since

$$\inf_{\underline{\theta}\in\Omega}P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max})=\inf_{\underline{\theta}\in\Omega_0}P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max}),$$

it suffices to consider the case where $\theta \in \Omega_0$, now we have

$$\frac{\overline{X}_n - \theta}{\sigma_n} \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

where $\sigma_n^2 = 2/n$, so the probability of the following event

$$\overline{X}_k \ge \max_{1 \le j \le k} \overline{X}_j - d/\sqrt{n},$$

is, asymptotically, the same as that of

$$Z_k \ge \max_{1 \le j \le k} Z_j - d/\sqrt{2},$$

where Z_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are i.i.d. standard normal variables, thus

$$\inf_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega} P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max}) \simeq P_{\underline{\theta}}(Z_k \ge \max_{1 \le j \le k} Z_j - d/\sqrt{2})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{k-1}(u + d/\sqrt{2}) d\Phi(u). \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, if we use the sample median in the selection procedure, we will have, asymptotically,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \inf_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega} P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R_{median}^{\max}) & \simeq & P_{\underline{\theta}}(Z_k \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} Z_j - d_{median}) \\ & = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{k-1}(u + d_{median}) d\Phi(u). \end{array}$$

Thus, in order to have the same probability of a correct selection for both selection rules based on the different statistics, we must have, for large n,

$$d \simeq \sqrt{2} d_{median}. \tag{13}$$

(D) Sensitivity of the Assumption of Double Exponential: Suppose we have k populations $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_k$, where Π_i is characterized by a location parameter θ_i . If we do not know whether these k populations have normal, logistic, or double exponential distributions, then selecting the population associated with the largest location parameter becomes a problem, because the real distribution of the populations is unknown. We will show that the double exponential distribution model provides a safeguard as explained below.

If the sample size n is large, we know that the infimum of $P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R^{\max})$ for the double exponential populations is approximately given by (12). On the other hand, for the normal means problem, we have

$$\inf_{\underline{\theta}\in\Omega}P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R_N^{\max})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi^{k-1}(u+d_N)d\Phi(u),$$

because

$$\begin{split} Z_k & \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} Z_j - d_N / \sqrt{n} & \iff \\ & \sqrt{n} (\overline{Z}_k - \theta) & \geq & \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sqrt{n} (\overline{Z}_j - \theta) - d_N, \end{split}$$

and $\sqrt{n}(\overline{Z}_j - \theta) \sim N(0, 1)$. Similarly, for the logistic distribution model, we have

$$\inf_{\underline{\theta} \in \Omega} P_{\underline{\theta}}(CS|R_L^{\max}) \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{k-1}(u+d_L) d\Phi(u),$$

therefore,

$$d \simeq \sqrt{2} d_N \simeq \sqrt{2} d_L$$
.

It is clear from the above that the d-values for the double exponential provide conservative bounds for the other two models, if n is large.

When n is small, for instance, for n = 10, k = 10, we have the following:

			P*-value	
	0.75	0.90	0.95	0.99
d	3.1971	4.2510	4.9063	6.1968
d_L	2.2639	2.9925	3.4390	4.3029
d_N	2.2637	2.9829	3.4182	4.2456

 d_N -value excerpted from Bechhofer(1954)

 d_L -value excerpted from Han(1987 Ph.D. Thesis)

From this we again see that the d-values for the double exponential provide conservative bounds for the normal and logistic models for the problem of selecting the unknown location parameter.

4 Selection Using a Non-informative Prior

In the Classical Maximum-Type Subset Selection Procedure, it is easy to notice that the selected subset size |s| is a random variable which is not fixed in advance.

In general, for any location or scale parameter situation, Gupta(1965) proved that:

- (1) The procedure of the above type is monotone, and
- (2) If the distribution $F(x, \theta)$ possesses a density $f(x, \theta)$ having a monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) in x, then E(|s|) is maximized when $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \cdots = \theta_k$ and the maximum is kP^* .

So, in the worst case, the expected proportion in the selected subset is equal to P^* . Furthermore, it may select populations such that, depending on the unknown parameter $\underline{\theta}$, we may get an actual P(CS) much larger than P^* .

In this section, we will regard the likelihood function of θ_i as the distribution of θ_i given X. It is the same as saying that based on the distribution of a statistic (in our case it is the sample mean and the sample median), we assume that, independently, each Θ_i has a non-informative prior, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

4.1 Bayes Selection Procedure

In the following, we will consider a more general case, we assume

$$X_i \sim f(|x-\theta_i|),$$

i.e. the density of X_i given $\Theta_i = \theta_i$ is symmetric about θ_i (for the case where f(.) is not symmetrical, we have obtained some results which will be available later), and

$$\Theta_i \sim \Pi(\theta) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Now, we will make decisions based on the posterior distributions of ΘX .

From a Bayes perspective, in order to select the population associated with the largest parameter $\theta_{[k]}$ with a guaranteed posterior probability of a correct selection to be at least $P^*(1/k < P^* < 1)$ (the so-called PP^* -condition, see Gupta and Yang(1985)), we should consider the following events

$$A_i = \{\theta_i \text{ is the largest } | \underline{X} = \underline{x} \}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Now, using the non-informative prior, we have

$$\Theta_i|X = \underline{x} \sim f(|x_i - \theta_i|), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Let $p_i(\underline{x})$ be the probability of event A_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(\underline{x}) &= P(\theta_i \text{ is the largest } |\underline{x}) \\ &= P(\theta_i > \theta_j, \forall j, \ j \neq i \mid \underline{x}) \\ &= P(\theta_i - x_i > \theta_j - x_j - (x_i - x_j), \forall j, \ j \neq i \mid \underline{x}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i \neq i} F(u + (x_i - x_j)) f(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where F(.) is the cdf of f(.).

Lemma 4.1: (1) The posterior probability $p_i(\underline{x})$ depends only on the differences $x_i - x_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k, j \neq i$,

- (2) $p_i(\underline{x})$ is non-increasing in x_j , $j \neq i$, keeping other components of \underline{x} fixed and it is non-decreasing in x_i , keeping other components of \underline{x} fixed.
 - (3) $p_i(\underline{x}) \ge p_j(\underline{x})$ if and only if $x_i \ge x_j$.

Proof: The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.

Theorem 4.1: For any subset S of the whole populations $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_k$, let $PP(CS|S, \underline{x})$ denote the posterior probability of a correct selection for the subset S (i.e. the subset S contains the best population) based on a random sample \underline{x} , then

- (1) $PP(CS|S,\underline{x})$ is non-increasing in x_j , if $j \notin S$, keeping other components of \underline{x} fixed, and
- (2) $PP(CS|S,\underline{x})$ is non-decreasing in x_i , if $i \in S$, keeping other components of \underline{x} fixed.

Proof: Since

$$PP(CS|S,\underline{x}) = \sum_{i \in S} p_i(\underline{x})$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i \notin S} p_i(\underline{x}). \tag{14}$$

Now, $p_i(\underline{x})$ is non-increasing in x_j , if $j \notin S$ for all $i \in S$, so $PP(CS|S,\underline{x})$ is non-increasing in x_j , $j \notin S$ by first part of equation (14).

On the other hand, the second part of equation (14) and the fact that $p_j(\underline{x})$ is non-increasing in x_i , if $i \in S$ for all $j \notin S$ imply that $PP(CS|S,\underline{x})$ is non-decreasing in x_i , $i \in S$. \Box From the Bayesian analysis, we know that the Bayes Decision Rule (R^B) will select the t populations which associated with the t largest values of $p_i(\underline{x})$ values (i.e. the Bayes set $s^B = \{\Pi_{[k]}, \dots, \Pi_{[k-t+1]}\}$), where the integer $t(\geq 1)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{m=k-t+1}^{k} p_{[m]}(\underline{x}) \ge P^*,$$

and

$$\sum_{m=k-t+2}^k p_{[m]}(\underline{x}) < P^*,$$

where $p_{[1]}(\underline{x}) \leq p_{[2]}(\underline{x}) \leq \cdots \leq p_{[k]}(\underline{x})$ are the ordered values of $p_i(\underline{x})$'s, and s^B is the subset selected by the Bayes selection rule R^B .

4.2 A Lower Bound on the PP(CS) for the Subset Selection Rule R^{\max}

Under the Maximum-Type Subset Selection Rule R^{\max} defined in the previous section, we know that the larger the value x_i is, the larger the chance that the corresponding population Π_i will be selected.

Under the rule R^{\max} , we will pick the population Π_i if its x_i value is larger than $x_{[k]} - d$, and reject Π_i if $x_i < x_{[k]} - d$. Thus the following observations:

Observations: For the Maximum-Type Subset Selection Rule R^{\max} , we know at least the following two facts

- (1) R^{\max} will always pick population $\Pi_{[k]}$, i.e. the population associated with the largest value $x_{[k]}$,
 - (2) All Π_i not being selected by R^{\max} must has its x_i value less than $x_{[k]} d$.

Theorem 4.2: If the subset selection rule R^{\max} selects i populations(i.e. select population $\Pi_{[k]}, \dots, \Pi_{[k-i+1]}$, where $\Pi_{[j]}$ is the population associated with the jth largest value $x_{[j]}$), under the classical selection procedure, then

$$PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x}) \geq PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0)$$

$$= P^* + \frac{i-1}{k-1}(1-P^*), \qquad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{\underline{x}: x_{[k]} - d = x_{[k-1]} = \cdots = x_{[1]}\}.$

Remark 4.2: A similar result for the normal model has been given in Gupta and Yang (1985). Here, we will give a probabilistic proof of the above theorem.

Proof: The first part of the inequality [i.e. $PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x}) \geq PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0)$] follows from the above observations and Theorem 4.1.

When $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_0$, we know that $p_{[k]}(\underline{x}) > p_{[k-1]}(\underline{x}) = \cdots = p_{[1]}(\underline{x})$, and

$$p_{[k]}(\underline{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j \neq k} F(u + (x_{[k]} - x_{[j]})) f(u) du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j \neq k} F(u + d) f(u) du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{k-1}(u + d) f(u) du = P^*,$$

since $\sum p_i(\underline{x}) = 1$, so $p_{[1]}(\underline{x}) = p_{[2]}(\underline{x}) = \cdots = p_{[k-1]}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{k-1}(1-P^*)$ and $|s_{R^{\max}}| = i$, hence the result follows.

Since $PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x}) \geq P^*$ and it is strictly larger than P^* , once we pick more than one population, we certainly can find a better subset selection rule by simply utilizing the lower bound on $PP(CS|R^{\max}, \underline{x})$.

4.3 Some New Selection Procedures

First, let us consider the following selection procedure:

Let $\Delta x_{[i]} = x_{[k]} - x_{[k-i]}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, where $x_{[1]} \leq x_{[2]} \leq \dots \leq x_{[k]}$ are the ordered values of x_i 's. then, we compute the following k-1 numbers:

$$P_{(i)}^* = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{k-1}(u + \Delta x_{[i]}) dF(u). \tag{16}$$

Since $0 \le \Delta x_{[1]} \le \cdots \le \Delta x_{[k-1]}$, therefore

$$0 \leq P_{(1)}^* \leq P_{(2)}^* \leq \cdots \leq P_{(k-1)}^* (<1).$$

Next, we compute:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{(i)}^* = P_{(i)}^* + \frac{i-1}{k-1} (1 - P_{(i)}^*). \tag{17}$$

Lemma 4.2: For values of $\Delta x_{[i]}$, where $0 \leq \Delta x_{[1]} \leq \cdots \leq \Delta x_{[k-1]}$, we have

$$0 \le \mathbf{Q}_{(1)}^* \le \cdots \le \mathbf{Q}_{(k-1)}^*(<1). \tag{18}$$

Proof: Actually, we have

$$\mathbf{Q_{(i)}^*} = 1 - \frac{k-i}{k-1}(1-P_{(i)}^*),$$

so $Q_{(i)}^*$ is increasing in i, because k-i is decreasing in i and $1-P_{(i)}^*$ is decreasing in $\Delta x_{[i]}$ (thus in i); hence the result.

Now, we propose the following subset selection rule R_1 :

For any preassigned guarantee probability $P^*(1/k < P^* < 1)$, if there exists the smallest $\mathbf{Q}^*_{(i_0)}$ which satisfies $\mathbf{Q}^*_{(i_0)} \ge P^*$, then the subset selection rule R_1 is

$$R_1$$
: Select $\Pi_{(j)}$ iff: $j > i_0$. (19)

The subset selection rule R_1 will take $s = \{\Pi_{(k)}, \cdots, \Pi_{(k-i_0+1)}\}$ as our selected subset.

otherwise, R_1 will select all populations.

Remark 4.3: To implement the procedure R_1 , we examine the posterior probabilities at following k-1 stages:

Stage 1. pull all k-2 values of $x_{[i]}$, $i=1,2,\cdots,k-2$ to the point $x_{[k-1]}$, and check if

$$\frac{k-1}{k-1}(1-P_{(1)}^*) \le 1-P^*,$$

if the above holds, we select $s = \{\Pi_{[k]}\}$ and terminate the process, if not, we go to

Stage 2. pull all k-2 values of $x_{[i]}$, $i \neq k-2$, $i=1,2,\cdots,k-1$ to the point $x_{[k-2]}$, check if

$$\frac{k-2}{k-1}(1-P_{(2)}^*) \le 1-P^*,$$

if it holds, we select $s = \{\Pi_{[k]}, \Pi_{[k-1]}\}$ and terminate the process, if not, we go to Stage 3, and so on, until we can find an i such that

$$\frac{k-i}{k-1}(1-P_{(i)}^*) \le 1-P^*,$$

and then we select $s = {\Pi_{[k]}, \ \Pi_{[k-1]}, \ \cdots, \ \Pi_{[k-i+1]}}$; If there does not exist such an i, we select all populations.

For other subset selection rules R_2, \dots, R_{k-1} , we give the following remark:

Remark 4.4: Note that in the Process of deriving the subset selection rule R_1 , we divided the data into two groups, and put only one value (i.e. $x_{[k]}$) into the first group. Now we can develop it in two directions.

(a) By putting more $x_{[i]}$'s into the first group, we can actually replace $Q_{(i)}^*$ by $Q_{(i)}^{**}$ as follows:

$$Q_{(i)}^{**} = \max_{0 \le m \le i-1} \left(1 - \frac{k-i}{k-m-1} P_{(i,m)}^{**}\right),$$

where

$$P_{(i,m)}^{**} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{m+1}(u - (x_{[k-m]} - x_{[k-i]})) dF^{k-m-1}(u), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, i-1,$$

is the posterior probability of $p_{[1]}(\underline{x}) = \cdots = p_{[k-m-1]}(\underline{x})$, when we pull $x_{[k]}, \cdots, x_{[k-m+1]}$ to $x_{[k-m]}$ and $x_{[k-m-1]}, \cdots, x_{[1]}$ to $x_{[k-i]}$.

When m = 0, we have

$$P_{(i,0)}^{**} = 1 - P_{(i)}^{*},$$

which is the value we used in the rule R_1 .

(b) We can also divide the data into $3, 4, \dots, k$ groups. Let R_2 be the rule for the case of 3 groups, \dots , and R_{k-1} be the rule for the case of k groups, then in the case of k groups, the subset selection rule and the previous rule R^B are identical. Later, it will be shown that R_2 can be as good as R^B and it is easier to implement from the computational viewpoint.

4.4 Properties of Subset Selection Rule

We can easily prove the following:

Proposition 4.1: The subset selection rule R_1 is better than rule R^{\max} , in the sense that

- (a) $PP(CS|R_1) \geq P^*$, because $PP(CS|R_1) \geq Q^*_{(i_0)}$, and
- (b) $s_1 \subset s_{R^{\max}}$, because $P_{(i_0)}^* \leq P^*$.

Proposition 4.2: (a) The subset selection rule R_1 and R^B will take the same action, if $x_{[1]} = \cdots = x_{[k-1]} < x_{[k]}$, or when the subset selection rule R_1 selects all populations in its selected subset.

(b) The subset selection rule R_1 , R^B and R^{\max} will take the same action, if the subset selection rule R_1 selects only one population.

Proposition 4.3: The subset selection rule R_1 possesses the advantage of the rule R^{\max} , because the forms of the involved integration for $P_{(i)}^*$ and P^* are identical.

Remark 4.5: The selection rule R_1 is like a modified rule of R^{max} , where, it like that the population associated with the largest statistic possesses the probability P^* of a correct selection, and the remaining |s|-1 populations in s have the P(CS) at lasst equal to $\frac{|s|-1}{k-1}(1-P^*)$.

5 An Example for Comparsion of the Several Subset Selection Rules

A data set of exponential random numbers generated by a statistical package G6-RVP designed by H.Rubin and C.Hinkle at Purdue University was given in Gupta and Leong's paper (1979), where 9 observations for each of 5 sets of double exponential random numbers with location parameters θ_i equal to 0, 2.5, 3.4, -2.0, -0.65 were taken.

Π_1	Π_2	Π_3	Π_4	Π_5
-3.4839	-0.9839	-0.0839	-5.48 39	-4.1339
-2.6762	-0.1762	0.7238	-4.6762	-3.3262
-0.3129	2.1871	3.0871	-2.3129	-0.9629
-0.2264	2.2736	3.1736	-2.2264	-0.8764
-0.1761	2.3239	3.2239	-2.1761	-0.8261
0.1462	2.6462	3.5462	-1.8538	-0.5038
0.3033	2.8033	3.7033	-1.6967	-0.3467
1.6160	4.1160	5.0160	-0.3840	0.9660
5.6924	8.1924	9.0924	3.6924	5.0424

To see how each subset selection rule performs, let

 $x_i = ext{ the sample mean of Π_i} \quad ext{ and } \quad y_i = ext{ sample median of Π_i},$ then

$$\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_5)' = (0.0980, 2.5980, 3.4980, -1.9020, -0.5520)',$$

$$\underline{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_5)' = (-0.1761, 2.3239, 3.2239, -2.1761, -0.8261)'.$$

Hence the difference of x_i 's and y_i 's are $\Delta x_{32} = \Delta y_{32} = 0.90$, $\Delta x_{31} = \Delta y_{31} = 3.40$, $\Delta x_{35} = \Delta y_{35} = 4.05$, $\Delta x_{34} = \Delta y_{34} = 5.40$.

(a) Now, we have the following:

$$PP(CS|R,\underline{x})$$
 for $R=R^B,R_1,R_i(i \geq 2)$

when one population is picked

	using mean	using median
R^B or $R_i (i \geq 2)$	0.9131	0.9380
R^{\max} or R_1	0.7700	0.8292

where, in the case of the sample mean, the integration for ${\cal R}^{\cal B}$ is

$$P^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_9(u+0.9) \times F_9(u+3.4) \times F_9(u+4.05) \times F_9(u+5.4) dF_9(u).$$

The integration for R_2 is

$$P^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_9(u+0.9) \times F_9^3(u+3.4) dF_9(u).$$

Also, the integration for R_1 or R^{\max} is

$$P^{\bullet} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{9}^{4}(u+0.9)dF_{9}(u),$$

where $F_9(.)$ is the cdf of the sample mean of size 9.

The same applies to the case of the sample median. Note that the rule R_2 is as good as R^B .

(b) In the case where two populations are taken, we have the probability one for all selection rules, because

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_9^4(u+3.4)dF_9(u) \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_4^4(u+3.4)dG_4(u) \simeq 1,$$

where $G_4(.)$ is the cdf of the sample median of size 9(see Gupta and Leong (1979)).

Table 1: Table of $\{c_n\}$ and $\{c_{n,i}\}$ for $n=2,3,\cdots,10$

						sample	e size n	<u></u>	
$c_{n,i}$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
c_n	4	16	96	768	7680	92160	1290240	20643840	371589120
$c_{n,0}$	1	3	15	105	945	10395	135135	2027025	34459425
$c_{n,1}$	1	3	15	105	945	10395	135135	2027025	34459425
$c_{n,2}$		1	6	45	420	4725	62370	945945	16216200
$c_{n,3}$			1	10	105	1260	17325	270270	4729725
c _{n,4}				1	15	210	3150	51975	945945
Cn,5					1	21	378	6930	135135
c _{n,6}						1	28	630	13860
c _{n,7}							1	36	990
c _{n,8}								1	45
$c_{n,9}$									1

Table 2: Table of $\{c_n\}$ and $\{a_{n,i}\}$ for $n=2,3,\cdots,10$

	Γ			52 (8	n) uni	(~n,1)		, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
						sample	size n		
$a_{n,i}$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
c_n	4	16	96	768	7680	92160	1290240	20643840	371589120
$a_{n,0}$	2	8	48	384	3840	46080	645120	10321920	185794560
$a_{n,1}$	1	5	33	279	2895	35685	509985	8294895	151335135
$a_{n,2}$		1	9	87	975	12645	187425	3133935	58437855
$a_{n,3}$			1	14	185	2640	41685	729330	14073885
$a_{n,4}$				1	20	345	6090	114765	2336040
$a_{n,5}$			l	<u> </u> 	1	27	588	12558	278019
$a_{n,6}$					i	1	35	938	23814
$a_{n,7}$							1	44	1422
$a_{n,8}$		ŀ						1	54
$a_{n,9}$									1

Table 3: 1) Upper $100(1-\alpha)$ Percentage Points ξ_{α} of Z_n (Top Entry); 2) $\Delta_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha}^* - \xi_{\alpha}$, where ξ_{α}^* is the Upper $100(1-\alpha)$ Percentage Points of Z_n^* (Bottom Entry).

					sample	size n		-		
$1-\alpha$	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21
0.750	0.6050	0.6321	0.6440	0.6507	0.6550	0.6580	0.6602	0.6619	0.6632	0.6643
	0825	1102	1249	1343	1409	1459	1499	1531	1557	1579
0.900	1.2221	1.2432	1.2532	1.2590	1.2629	1.2656	1.2676	1.2692	1.2704	1.2715
	0739	1259	1591	1823	1995	2129	2237	2326	2401	2467
0.950	1.6372	1.6385	1.6395	1.6402	1.6408	1.6412	1.6416	1.6419	1.6422	1.6424
	0369	1025	1484	1815	2066	2264	2426	2560	2675	2774
0.975	2.0284	2.0026	1.9905	1.9836	1.9794	1.9763	1.9740	1.9724	1.9711	1.9699
,	.0144	0632	1210	1637	1967	2229	2443	2623	2778	2910
0.990	2.5214	2.4524	2.4194	2.3999	2.3871	2.3782	2.3715	2.3663	2.3621	2.3590
	.0976	.0055	0683	1236	1666	2014	2298	2539	2741	2923
0.995	2.8821	2.7759	2.7246	2.6941	2.6746	2.6599	2.6495	2.6410	2.6343	2.6294
	.1684	.0659	0195	0842	1355	1758	2099	2387	2625	2844

Table 4: Upper $100(1-\alpha)$ Percentage Points ξ_{α} of Z_n for even values of n

					sample	size n	-			_
1 – α	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
0.750	0.5731	0.6218	0.6390	0.6478	0.6531	0.6566	0.6592	0.6611	0.6626	0.6638
0.900	1.1986	1.2350	1.2489	1.2564	1.2611	1.2643	1.2667	1.2685	1.2698	1.2710
0.950	1.6359	1.6379	1.6390	1.6399	1.6405	1.6411	1.6415	1.6418	1.6420	1.6423
0.975	2.0563	2.0125	1.9955	1.9867	1.9814	1.9777	1.9751	1.9733	1.9717	1.9705
0.990	2.5958	2.4792	2.4335	2.4084	2.3929	2.3822	2.3746	2.3688	2.3642	2.3605
0.995	2.9944	2.8174	2.7466	2.7075	2.6831	2.6666	2.6544	2.6453	2.6379	2.6318

Table 5: Values of $d/\sqrt{n}=d(n,k,P^*)$ for $n,k=2,3,\cdots,10$

			01 4/0	– 4(,				, - 0		
				1	number o	f Popul	ations /	t		
n	P^*	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	0.75	1.1462	1.7849	2.1575	2.4258	2.6365	2.8104	2.9584	3.0874	3.2015
1	0.90	2.3972	3.0504	3.4336	3.7083	3.9234	4.1002	4.2503	4.3809	4.4964
	0.95	3.2716	3.9322	4.3197	4.5971	4.8138	4.9917	5.1427	5.2739	5.3899
	0.99	5.1910	5.8612	6.2549	6.5350	6.7538	6.9333	7.0853	7.2180	7.3343
	0.75	0.9580	1.3575	1.6011	1.7756	1.9110	2.0214	2.1144	2.1947	2.2653
2	0.90	1.7893	2.1966	2.4393	2.6118	2.7452	2.8539	2.9454	3.0244	3.0939
	0.95	2.3470	2.7550	2.9962	3.1670	3.2992	3.4069	3.4975	3.5758	3.6447
	0.99	3.5237	3.9287	4.1660	4.3337	4.4634	4.5696	4.6582	4.7351	4.8032
	0.75	0.7379	1.1187	1.3251	1.4666	1.5740	1.6605	1.7328	1.7949	1.8493
3	0.90	1.4421	1.7960	1.9924	2.1281	2.2316	2.3152	2.3853	2.4455	2.4983
}	0.95	1.8926	2.2339	2.4250	2.5576	2.6591	2.7410	2.8098	2.8690	2.9209
	0.99	2.8096	3.1318	3.3142	3.4417	3.5394	3.6189	3.6855	3.7427	3.7932
	0.75	0.6478	0.9796	1.1575	1.2784	1.3696	1.4428	1.5037	1.5558	1.6013
4	0.90	1.2564	1.5601	1.7268	1.8414	1.9283	1.9983	2.0567	2.1068	2.1507
	0.95	1.6399	1.9298	2.0909	2.2020	2.2866	2.3548	2.4119	2.4608	2.5038
	0.99	2.4086	2.6774	2.8290	2.9344	3.0150	3.0802	3.1351	3.1820	3.2234
	0.75	0.5842	0.8821	1.0407	1.1480	1.2286	1.2931	1.3466	1.3923	1.4322
5	0.90	1.1280	1.3980	1.5454	1.6462	1.7224	1.7836	1.8346	1.8783	1.9165
	0.95	1.4673	1.7236	1.8650	1.9623	2.0362	2.0956	2.1452	2.1877	2.2249
	0.99	2.1401	2.3752	2.5071	2.5983	2.6682	2.7246	2.7719	2.8121	2.8477

Table 5 (continued)

		continue	,u,							
	0.75	0.5361	0.8088	0.9532	1.0507	1.1237	1.1819	1.2301	1.2713	1.3072
6	0.90	1.0320	1.2777	1.4110	1.5022	1.5707	1.6256	1.6714	1.7106	1.7450
	0.95	1.3396	1.5718	1.6992	1.7864	1.8530	1.9058	1.9504	1.9885	2.0215
	0.99	1.9453	2.1533	2.2734	2.3555	2.4170	2.4668	2.5078	2.5430	2.5752
	0.75	0.4983	0.7514	0.8850	0.9748	1.0420	1.0955	1.1397	1.1775	1.2103
7	0.90	0.9575	1.1843	1.3071	1.3906	1.4535	1.5038	1.5457	1.5814	1.6126
	0.95	1.2408	1.4542	1.5713	1.6513	1.7119	1.7605	1.8010	1.8356	1.8658
	0.99	1.7952	1.9874	2.0951	2.1694	2.2258	2.2714	2.3095	2.3423	2.3708
	0.75	0.4675	0.7045	0.8295	0.9132	0.9758	1.0255	1.0666	1.1017	1.1321
8	0.90	0.8969	1.1086	1.2230	1.3006	1.3590	1.4057	1.4444	1.4775	1.5064
	0.95	1.1609	1.3596	1.4683	1.5426	1.5987	1.6436	1.6810	1.7130	1.7410
	0.99	1.6750	1.8530	1.9526	2.0211	2.0731	2.1152	2.1504	2.1804	2.2068
	0.75	0.4419	0.6658	0.7835	0.8623	0.9210	0.9677	1.0063	1.0391	1.0676
9	0.90	0.8468	1.0461	1.1535	1.2263	1.2811	1.3248	1.3610	1.3920	1.4189
	0.95	1.0950	1.2816	1.3835	1.4530	1.5055	1.5475	1.5825	1.6123	1.6384
	0.99	1.5764	1.7430	1.8358	1.8997	1.9482	1.9874	2.0200	2.0480	2.0726
	0.75	0.0015	0.5712	0.7179	0.8051	0.8665	0.9135	0.9516	0.9835	1.0110
10	0.90	0.7512	0.9761	1.0869	1.1593	1.2126	1.2547	1.2893	1.3188	1.3443
	0.95	1.0141	1.2070	1.3078	1.3752	1.4255	1.4655	1.4986	1.5269	1.5515
	0.99	1.4865	1.6476	1.7362	1.7968	1.8428	1.8796	1.9103	1.9365	1.9596

References

- [1] Bechhofer, R.E. (1954). A single-sample multiple decision procedure for ranking means of normal populations with known variances. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 25 16-39.
- [2] Gupta, S.S. (1956). On a decision rule for a problem in ranking means. Ph.D. Thesis (Mimeograph Series No. 150), Institute of Statistics, University of North Carolina, Chapl Hill, North Carolina.
- [3] Gupta, S.S. (1965). On some multiple decision (selection and ranking) rules. Technometrics 7 225-245.
- [4] Gupta, S.S. and Leong, Y.-K. (1979). Some results on subset selection procedures for double exponential populations. in: *Decision Information* (Tsokos, C.P. Thrall, R.M. ed.), 277-305, Academic Press, New York.
- [5] Gupta, S.S. and Yang, H.M. (1985). Bayes-P* subset selection procedure for the best population. Journal of statistical planning and inference 12 213-233.
- [6] Han, S.H. (1987). Contributions to Selection and Ranking Theory with Special Reference to Logistic Populations. Ph.D. Thesis (Report Series No. 87-38), Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.
- [7] Weida, F.M. (1935). On certain distribution functions when the law of the universe is Poisson's first law of error. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 6-7 102-110.

	REPORT DOCUM	MENTATION	PAGE		
Ia. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
Unclassified 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DETRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	REPORT	
	SNIP?		or public re		tribution
26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCH	·	unlimited.	·		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NU	MBER(S)	S. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RI	EPORT NUMBERC	D)
Technical Report #90-25C					
64. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7s. NAME OF MC	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Purdue University		L			
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		76. ADDRESS (CR	y, State, and ZIP (Code)	
Department of Statistics West Lafavette IN 47907	,	1			
West Lafayette, IN 47907		<u> </u>			
BA. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		02620	entification nu	IMBER
Office of Naval Research		N00014-88-	-K-0170		
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF PROGRAM	FUNDING NUMBER I PROJECT	is Itask	IWORK UNIT
Arlington, VA 22217-5000		ELEMENT NO.	MO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
14 NO PALL AS PROPERTY OF THE		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	1
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) CLASSICAL AND BAYES-P* SUBS	ET SELECTION PROCE	DURES FOR DOL	JBLE EXPONEN	TIAL POPULA	TIONS
1					
12. PERSONAL AUTHORS) Shanti S. Gupta and Yuning					
	ME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	MT (Year, Month,	Doy) 15. PAGE 21	COUNT
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIM	ME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	IRT (Year, Month,		COUNT
13a, TYPE OF REPORT 13b, TM Technical FROM	ME COVERED		IRT (Year, Month,		COUNT
13a, TYPE OF REPORT TECHNICAL 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES	TO TO TERMS (May 1990		21	
13a, TYPE OF REPORT Technical FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	18. SUBJECT TERMS (May 1990 Continue on revers	e W necessary and	21 d Mencify by blocosterior Pro	ck number)
13a, TYPE OF REPORT Technical FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Classical Ma a Correct Se	May 1990 Fonthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Sub	e W necessary and	21 d Mencify by blocosterior Pro	ck number)
13a, TYPE OF REPORT TECHNICAL 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse If neces	18. SUBJECT YERMS (Classical Ma a Correct Se	May 1990 Continue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber)	e W necessary and rocedure; Po set Selectio	21 d Identify by bloc osterior Pro on; Selected	ck number) obability of 1 Subset Size
13a, TYPE OF REPORT Technical 13b. TM FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if neces The exact distribution of the	18. SUBJECT YERMS (Classical Ma a Correct Se	May 1990 Continue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double ex	rocedure; Poset Selection	d Identify by blocosterior Proon; Selected	ck number) obability of d Subset Size
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 13b. TM FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse M neces The exact distribution of t derived. A classical subset the population associated w	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Classical Ma a Correct Se many and identify by block of the sample mean from the selection procedu with the largest loc	May 1990 Continue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double exure based on cation parame	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do	osterior Proon; Selected Laplace) momean for se	ck number) Dbability of a Subset Size of the subse
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse N necess The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subsette population associated we (Laplace) distributions is	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from et selection proceduith the largest located studied. For the	May 1990 Fonthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum based on cation parametase when a market parametase par	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat	d stentify by blocosterior Proon; Selected Laplace) modern for secuble exponentive prior is	ck number) blability of Subset Size del is lecting ntial s intro-
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical FROM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse M neces The exact distribution of t derived. A classical subse the population associated w (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from t selection procedu with the largest location studied. For the case relation between	May 1990 Continue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double exima double exima based on cation parameters when a state classical	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat 1 Maximum-Ty	Laplace) moment for security prior is	ck number) phability of a Subset Size del is a lecting antial a intro- re Rule R ^{max}
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse W necessary for the exact distribution of the derived. A classical subsettine population associated w (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Baves-P*	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the selection procedu with the largest location studied. For the case	May 1990 Continue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum a double eximum parameter based on cation parameters when a subne classical procedure rule	rocedure; Poset Selection exponential (the sample eter of k do non-informate of the sample eter of the sam	Laplace) moment for security prior is	ck number) Dbability of a Subset Size del is lecting antial a intro- re Rule R ^{max} ed bound
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse N necess The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subsette population associated we (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probability	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the selection proceduith the largest located studied. For the careful proceduity of a correction	May 1990 Fonthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum based on cation parameters when a state classical rocedure rule selection for	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat l Maximum-Tye is studied or the class	Laplace) moderate prior is the procedure procedure. An improve ical subset	ck number) blability of a Subset Size del is a lecting antial a sintro- re Rule R ^{max} ed bound a selec-
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse # neces The exact distribution of t derived. A classical subse the population associated w (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabili tion rule R ^{max} that relates	Classical Ma a Correct Se many and identify by block is the sample mean from the selection proceduled. For the selection between subset selection price ity of a correction is the rule R ^{max} to	May 1990 Fonctive on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum based on cation paramecase when a state classical rocedure rule selection for the selected	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat l Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size	Laplace) moderate prior is the procedure of the control of the con	ck number) Debability of a Subset Size of Subset Size of Size of Subset Size of
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse W necess The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subset the population associated we (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabilition rule R ^{max} that relates subset selection rule R ^{max}	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the largest location procedule the largest location between subset selection procedule to the correction of a correction standard to may select all the	May 1990 Fonctive on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum based on cation paramecase when a state classical rocedure rule selection for the selected	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat l Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size	Laplace) moderate prior is the procedure of the control of the con	ck number) Debability of a Subset Size of Subset Size of Size
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse # neces The exact distribution of t derived. A classical subse the population associated w (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabili tion rule R ^{max} that relates	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the largest location procedule the largest location between subset selection procedule to the correction of a correction standard to may select all the	May 1990 Fonctive on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double eximum based on cation paramecase when a state classical rocedure rule selection for the selected	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat l Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size	Laplace) moderate prior is the procedure of the control of the con	ck number) Debability of a Subset Size of Subset Size of Size
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse W necess The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subsetthe population associated we (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabilition rule R ^{max} that relates subset selection rule R ^{max}	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the selection proceduled the largest located to the largest located	May 1990 Conthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Submoder) m a double eximum based on cation parametric case when a state classical rocedure rule selection for the selected populations	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size) is studied	Laplace) more mean for security prior is recorded. An improve sical subset is (notice that and some in	ck number) Debability of a Subset Size of Subset Size of Size
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse N secret The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subset the population associated we (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabilition rule R ^{max} that relates subset selection rule R ^{max} rules of the type R ^{max} are	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the largest location proceded in the largest location between subset selection proceded in the largest location between subset selection proceded in the rule R max to may select all the provided.	May 1990 Conthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Submoder) m a double exact based on cation parameters when a state classical rocedure rule selection for the selected populations 21. ABSTRACT Selected Selection for the selected selection for the selected selection for the selected sele	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size) is studied ECURITY CLASSIFICATION Fied Procedure Area Cod	Laplace) more mean for se puble exponentive prior is precedured. An improve sical subset and some in the contract of the contr	ck number) phability of a Subset Size del is electing ential en
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse W necess The exact distribution of the derived. A classical subsetth the population associated we (Laplace) distributions is duced into the problem, the and the so-called Bayes-P* for the guarantee probabilition rule R ^{max} that relates subset selection rule R ^{max} rules of the type R ^{max} are 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTREMINIONAL SHANTE OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Shanti S. Gupta	Classical Ma a Correct Se the sample mean from the largest location proceded in the largest location between subset selection proceded in the largest location between subset selection proceded in the rule R max to may select all the provided.	May 1990 Fonthue on reverse eximum-Type Pelection; Subnumber) m a double exact based on cation parameters when a structure rule selection for the selected populations 21. ABSTRACT Signal Unclassion 17-494-mail exhausted.	rocedure; Poset Selection xponential (the sample eter of k do non-informat Maximum-Tye is studied or the class subset size) is studied fied fied fied fied food food field	Laplace) more mean for se puble exponentive prior is precedured. An improve sical subset and some in the contract of the contr	ck number) Dabbility of d Subset Size del is lecting ential sintro- Re Rule R ^{max} ed bound selecting ential selections at the emproyed